

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/565,487 | 03/03/2006 | Richard Edwards | ASZD-P01-135 | 7327 |
| 28120 7 | 590 07/17/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP | | | ULM, JOHN D | |
| ROPES & GRA | AY LLP ATIONAL PLACE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| BOSTON, MA | A 02110-2624 | | 1649 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/17/2000 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | 10/565,487 | EDWARDS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | John D. Ulm | 1649 . | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE! | I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133) | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | , _ | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-20</u> is/are pending in the app | olication. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-20</u> are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | · | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | ' '' | d | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | u. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | · — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — | · | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/565,487

Art Unit: 1649

Claims 1 to 3 and 5 to 20 are pending in the instant application.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1, 8, 10 and 19, in so far as they are drawn to a method of measuring the expression level of a specified nucleic acid.

Group II, claims 2, 8, 9, 11 and 20, in so far as they are drawn to a method of measuring the amount of a specified protein in a sample.

Group III, claim 5, drawn to a method of treating by administering an antibody.

Group IV, claims 3, 6 7, 16 17 only in so far as they are drawn to a compound that inhibits the expression level of a specified nucleic acid, and methods of use.

Group V, claims 3, 6 7, 16 17 only in so far as they are drawn to a compound that stimulates the expression level of a specified nucleic acid, and methods of use.

Group VI, claims 12 to 15, drawn to a receptor binding assay.

Group VII, claims 16 17, only in so far as they are drawn to a compound that stimulates the activity of a specified receptor protein, and method of use

Group VIII, claims 16 17, only in so far as they are drawn to a compound that inhibits the activity of a specified receptor protein, and method of use

Group IX, claim 18, drawn to a method of identifying components of a signaling pathway.

Art Unit: 1649

The inventions listed as Groups I to IX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The analytical methods of inventions I, II and IX do not share a common objective nor do they employ a common distinguishing reagent, step, set of reagents or set of steps that distinguishes these three different methods, as a group, from the prior art. The defining compounds of inventions III to VII do not share a common activity or a common recited structure that distinguishes them as a group from the prior art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,487

Art Unit: 1649

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0880. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800

Page 4